

By email

3 June 2024

Dear Sir Ed,

We are writing to draw your attention to the Royal Statistical Society's manifesto for the general election, [\*Statistics in Action: a manifesto for empowering society through statistics\*](#).

One of the key roles of the RSS, established in our Royal Charter, is to advocate for the role of statistics and data in decision-making. We believe that there is a crucial role for statistics and data both to improve decisions and inform the public – the importance of this was highlighted during the pandemic. A well-functioning statistical system means investment in infrastructure, transparency around the data used to inform decisions and decision-makers who are well-equipped to interpret statistical evidence.

As you may be aware, Professor Denise Lievesley has recently conducted a review of the UK Statistics Authority. The [\*RSS has welcomed the findings\*](#) of her review. The challenges highlighted by the review around data sharing between government departments and pre-release access to statistics are especially important for the next government to address. There are a number of systemic and cultural barriers to data sharing between government departments that hamper the government's ability to develop a full picture of the state of the nation and to drive improvements in the efficiency of public services. If the potential for data to help improve services is to be fulfilled, government must ensure that the UK's data infrastructure is fit for purpose.

It is also important to stop the practice of pre-release access to statistics. The RSS has long argued that pre-release access to statistics has a harmful impact on our political system and that abandoning the practice could improve public confidence in official statistics. The idea that governments need to see statistics early to prepare their response to them is harmful for two reasons. First, it means that when the public see a department's statistical release it comes with a pre-prepared press line – the public are sensitive to this spinning of information, and it risks breeding mistrust in the statistics themselves. Second, it helps to perpetuate the impression that ministers control data and its release. There is an opportunity for Westminster to set a good example to the other nations of the UK on this matter and we would urge you to consider taking it.

Supporting the statistical system by addressing these points from the Lievesley Review is important – but it is also vital that the ministers and secretaries of state who are receiving advice based on statistics and data are well-positioned to interpret and use that evidence. This means both having an understanding of how to interpret data-based evidence (and what questions to ask of it) as well as how to handle the data in an ethical manner – in line with the Code of Practice for Statistics.

There are important steps that you can take as a party leader to help entrench this approach in government – especially if, after the election, there are ministers appointed from your party. In that case we would urge you to:


1. Within the first month, direct any government departments you have responsibility for to improve data sharing and report regularly on their progress.



2. End the practice of pre-release access to statistics for your ministers and their advisers – demonstrating trustworthiness to increase public confidence in how data informs decisions.
3. Ensure that any secretaries of state or ministers that you appoint receive training in interpreting statistical and data-based evidence (which the RSS would be happy to provide as part of our charitable function).
4. Set out the expectation that any of your ministers would abide by the Code of Practice for Statistics.
5. Ask your MPs to advocate for our approach in the House of Commons.

Please let us know if it would be helpful to have any more information on any of these points.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. Sarah Cumbers  
Chief Executive Officer



Dr. Andrew Garrett  
President

